# Investigating why DMS production consistently decreases in acidified ocean experiments

#### **Stephen Archer**

Kerstin Suffrian, Kevin Posman, Patricia Matria, Peter Countway

Ulf Riebesell, Andrea Ludwig and KOSMOS group



Bigelow

Laboratory for



Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel



#### Potential impacts of OA on air-sea trace gas exchange



#### Microbial DMS, DMSPand DMSO cycling



#### Carpenter et al. 2012. Chem. Soc. Rev.

## Acidification in a bag: a 'community-level response'







- CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated seawater additions
- $pCO_2$  gradient : 190 to 1300 µatm
- 33 days





Svalbard – EPOCA mesocosm experiment

### Arctic experiment: [DMS] vs. [H<sup>+</sup>]



## Responses of DMS by different communities

#### Summary of mesocosm studies of OA on DMS and DMSP

Location	pCO <sub>2</sub> range (ppmv) (and temperature)	% DMS change from present	% DMSP change from present	Study
Norwegian coastal mesocosm	300 vs 750 (7 to 9°C)	~ 40 % lower	40 % lower (P< 0.05) <sup>1</sup>	Avgoustidi 2007
Norwegian coastal mesocosm	300 vs 750 vs 1050 (9 to 12 °C)	not different at P < 0.05 <sup>1</sup>	not significantly different at P < 0.05 <sup>1</sup>	Vogt et al. 2008
Norwegian coastal mesocosm	350 vs 750 (7 to 10 °C)	54% lower	24 % lower <sup>3</sup>	Hopkins et al 2010
Norwegian coastal mesocosm	280 to 1400 (cool-temperate)	~50 % lower	reduced	Webb et al. 2012
Baltic coastal mesocosm	280 – 1400 (cool-temperate)	~10 % lower	?	Webb et al. 2012
Korean coastal mesocosm	400 vs 900 (14-11°C) and 900 ppm + 3 °C	80 % higher 60 % higher	increased DMSP:POC	Kim et al. 2010
Arctic coastal mesocsoms	190 to 750 ppmv (2 – 5 °C)	35 (±11) % lower <sup>4</sup> (P< 0.005)	30 (± 3) % higher <sup>4</sup> (P< 0.005)	Archer et al. 2013
Korean coastal mesocosm	200 to 800 (14-11°C)	50 % lower	50 % decrease	Park et al. 2013

Archer, et al. 2013. Biogeosciences

#### What might the atmospheric implication be?

Global warming amplified by reduced sulphur fluxes as a result of ocean acidification. Six et al. *Nature Climate Change 2013.* 



2x atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> - 3.71 W m<sup>-2</sup> 17% reduced global DMS emission – 0.40 W m-2

#### Acidification in the tropical Atlantic





#### Three phases in the experiment



#### The community DMS response



Suggests a 30 % decrease in [DMS] at 750 µatm

#### Temporal progression DMS, DMSPp and DMSPd

Higher DMS at ambient [pCO<sub>2</sub>] in early and late phases

> Closer coupling with increased [H<sup>+</sup>]

Heterotrophic processes more reliant on DMSP and DMS at higher [H+]?



#### Phytoplankton component: ecophysiological response



#### Arctic Mesocosm: phytoplankton ecophysiological response



#### Bacterial component: catabolism of DMSP



#### Are bacteria influencing production of DMS?



## Regulation of DMS production at the molecular level



## Regulation of DMS production at the molecular level

#### Molecular response in Ruegeria pomeroyi

1

3

DMSP addition: dddP vs. dmdA exprression

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Mini clone library constructed
- Less variability among sequences than for DmdA
- Best matches in GenBank (75-79% similar)

#### Meta-transcriptomics: expression of S-cycling genes

With 16S sequencing to find out who is there and when

## Next steps

- 1. Ecosystem modeling: individual mesocosms in Canaries
- 2. Incorporation of key processes into coupled ocean-atmosphere model
- 3. Mesocosm Expt. 8<sup>th</sup> to 29<sup>th</sup> September 2015
  - Low nutrient 'warm water' summer community
  - Temperature and pCO<sub>2</sub> treatments
  - <sup>13</sup>C tracer approach to track production and fate of DMSP

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

**Bigelow Seawater Facility**