

Can we infer organismal physiology from geochemical proxies?

Bärbel Hönisch

with much help from Carina Fish Sam Phelps Joaquim Goes Tetsu Fujiki Laura Haynes Kate Holland Steve Eggins

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory Columbia University | Earth Institute



Proxies are stand-ins for environmental parameters that can no longer be measured directly.





The B/Ca proxy for past seawater-pH or [B(OH)₄-]/[HCO₃-]

 $CaCO_3 + B(OH)_4 \leftarrow \Rightarrow Ca(HBO_3) + HCO_3 + H_2O$

The boron isotope proxy for past seawater-pH

Hemming & Hanson, GCA, 1992

B/Ca and boron isotope proxies for reconstructing past seawater-pH



Allen et al. (2011)

(too many to list)

pH modification in response to physiological processes: photosynthesis, respiration, calcification





Rink et al. 1998 Köhler-Rink & Kühl 2005 Jørgensen et al. 1985 Köhler-Rink & Kühl 2000 Bentov et al. 2009 Al-Horani et al. 2003 Kühl et al. 1995

∆pH (site of calcification - ambient, TS)

Larger symbiont-bearing foraminifers often record higher $\delta^{11}\text{B}$ - i.e. greater pH elevation



Ocean acidification at the PETM (56 million years ago)



Penman et al., Paleoceanography, 2014



Morozovella velascoensis



Acarinina soldadoensis



Subbotina spp.

No apparent effect of symbiont-bleaching on the $\delta^{11}\text{B}$ record at the PETM



Morozovella velascoensis



Penman et al. (EPSL, 2014)

But what about pH-elevation differences between species?



Allen et al. (2011)

(too many to list)







Dyez & Hönisch, unpubl.



Fish et al., unpubl.



Globigerinoides sacculifer

1000 ·



foraminiferal shell size (µm)



foraminiferal shell size (µm)



Orbulina universa



Fish et al., unpubl.







Physiological processes – photosynthesis, respiration and calcification – modify pH in the microenvironment of foraminifers.

Taking B/Ca and boron isotope values at face value, pH-elevation due to symbiont photosynthetic activity and/or depth range in the water column should rank *G. ruber* > *G. sacculifer* > *O. universa.*

This prediction is not verified by symbiont density and fluorescence measurements, which are similar for all species, if not even highest for *O. universa.*

Alternative processes must be responsible for the difference in shell geochemistry, most likely including the biomineralization process and related ion transport.

Inferring physiological processes from geochemical proxies may be misleading, unless all details of the organismal biology are known.



Coral resilience to ocean acidification and global warming through pH up-regulation?



Coral resilience to ocean acidification and global warming through pH up-regulation ?



Coral resilience to ocean acidification and global warming through pH up-regulation ?



pH up-regulation has been measured directly and via δ^{11} B in *S. pistillata* follow a similar pH-slope. This may indicate greater resilience to ocean acidification.

 δ^{11} B in all marine carbonate calibrated over a wide pH range show lesser sensitivity to pH than predicted from δ^{11} B in aqueous borate, including synthetic calcite.

Biology is not involved in synthetic calcite precipitation, suggesting that the δ^{11} B vs. pH sensitivity of marine carbonates may not be due to active pH up-regulation, but instead reflect an incomplete understanding of the boron isotope proxy systematics.

Unless proxy systematics are understood in their entirety, interpretations of deviations from theory should be approached with caution.

Inorganic $CaCO_3$ precipitation experiments should be replicated to confirm or revise the calibration.

Thanks!