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[A] MOTIVATION:

Marine phytoplankton plays a significant role in the global carbon cycle as one quarter of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions end up in the ocean. Life in the ocean increases the efficiency of marine environments to take up more CO₂ and reduces the rise in atmospheric concentrations. However, challenges with appropriate representation of physical and biological processes in Earth System Models (ESMs) undermines the effort to quantify seasonal to multi-decadal variability in ocean uptake of atmospheric CO₂.

[B] INTRODUCTION:

The ocean takes up atmospheric CO₂ by means of the solubility pump, initiated when the atmospheric gas dissolves in ocean water as a result of concentration gradients between the atmosphere and ocean surface water. Another way is through the biological pump, as marine phytoplankton uses atmospheric CO₂ to form organic carbon via the process of photosynthesis. These two complementary pumps serve as significant components of the marine carbon cycle and ultimate sequestration of carbon as detritus sink to ocean depths and cold surface ocean waters migrate downwards to form part of deep waters.

Although our understanding of marine inorganic carbon chemistry has developed at a fundamental level, there are still some key questions with respect to seasonal to decadal variability of marine biogeochemistry and the roles of planktons in atmospheric CO₂ uptake [e.g., Achterberg, 2014]. Understanding biological and physical processes in the global ocean is crucial in answering these questions as atmospheric CO₂ would have increased by almost 200 ppm in the absence of the biological pump [Surmiento and Taggawler, 1984]. Thus, it is essential to validate marine biogeochemical representations in contemporary ESMs. Appropriate quality control of the marine carbon cycle representation in ESMs could be achieved by promoting unique ways to use observational datasets to constrain model results and inform future model development.

In a bid to improve analyses of marine contributions to climate–carbon cycle feedbacks, the International Land Model Benchmarking (ILAMB) project at ORNL is now expanding to meet the growing benchmarking needs of ocean biogeochemistry models. This expansion includes modification of the ILAMB package to satisfy some intrinsic demands of the Ocean community, and use the generated International Ocean Model Benchmarking (IOMB) package to validate DOE ocean model biogeochemistry results with observational datasets. This verification and validation system will also be employed to output results from other international ocean models, including those that contribute results to the fifth phase of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) and to CMIP6.

[Motivation Diagram: IOMB Model Scoring by Variables]

[C] INTERNATIONAL OCEAN MODEL BENCHMARKING (IOMB)

Benchmarking overview for some variables in DOE (ACME and POP) and CMIP5 ESMs

[D] PRELIMINARY RESULTS: Single Forcing Simulations

Contemporary Global Ocean PH: (a) Observational Dataset – LDEO (b) IPSL-CM5A-LR Natural Forcing only (c) IPSL-CM5A-LR GHG Forcing

• Single forcing simulations show that the current state of the global ocean can be partially explained by the deposition and uptake of anthropogenic emissions.
• Combined effects of two or more of these forcings on ocean biogeochemical cycles and ecosystems are challenging to predict as additive and antagonistic effects may occur.

[Results Diagram: Phosphate concentrations in Arctic Ocean: Temporal integrated mean bias for year 2000 (a) ACME (b) POP (c) IPSL-LR]

Nitrate concentrations: Temporally Integrated mean bias (a) ACME (b) POP (c) NorESM1-ME

• Noticeable bias in polar regions and Tropical Pacific
• Biological and physical processes representing Arctic and Southern Ocean could be improved.

[E] CONCLUSIONS:

• Polar regions continue to show notable biases in biogeochemical and physical oceanographic variables.
• Some of these disparities could have first order impacts on the conversion of atmospheric CO₂ to organic carbon.
• A benchmarking tool for marine biogeochemical results is indispensable as we continue to improve ESM process representations and understand the dynamics of climate – carbon cycle feedbacks from the ocean.
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