# Zooplankton and Micronekton Active Flux

Santiago Hernández-León





Instituto de Oceanografía y Cambio Global (IOCAG)

Universidad de Las Palmas de GC

Islas Canarias





Kwong and Pakhomov (2017)



Ariza et al. (2016)



Ariza et al. (2016)





Ariza et al. (2016)





Ariza et al. (2015)



Neuer et al. (2007)





Zooplankton

Ariza et al. (2015)

Micronekton



Ariza et al. (2015)



Neuer et al. (2007)

To our knowledge:

- Hidaka et al. (2001)
- Ariza et al. (2016)



### Migrants and Active Flux In the Atlantic Ocean

Hernández-León et al. (in prep.)







### Mocness











## Mesopelagos











# **Total Active Flux** from respiratory flux

#### In zooplankton:

- Mortality was estimated from growth assuming steady-state conditions in the mesopelagic zone (growth=mortality): equation of Ikeda and Motoda (1978) relating respiration and growth, and assuming gross growth (growth/ingestion) and assimilation efficiencies of 30 and 70%, respectively.
- Excretion was assessed using the values of Steinberg et al. (2000) making up 24% of the respired plus excreted carbon.
- Gut flux not considered. Gut passage time in zooplankton is short (<1h, Dam and Peterson, 1988), so we assume that fecal pellets are released in the epipelagic zone, and thus, included in the sediment trap data.

#### In micronekton:

- Mortality was estimated from growth assuming steady-state conditions, and using the growth/metabolism ratio of 0.66 given by Brett and Groves (1979).
- Excretion was estimated as in zooplankton
- Gut flux assuming that carnivorous organisms egest an amount equivalent to 40% of the respired carbon (Brett and Groves, 1979). We used an egestion equivalent to 80% of the respired carbon because of their residence at depth and their long gut passage time.











## Bathypelagic cruise





Deep-Acoustic Zooplankton Fish Profiler

